Journal of Business and Economics, ISSN 2155-7950, USA November 2023, Volume 14, No. 11, pp. 523-531

November 2023, Volume 14, No. 11, pp. 523-531 DOI: 10.15341/jbe(2155-7950)/11.14.2023/002 © Academic Star Publishing Company, 2023

http://www.academicstar.us



Value, History, Activities, and Challenges of Student Managed Investment Fund Consortium (SMIFC)

Tarek Zaher¹, Jin Park², Paru Acharya³

(1.Scott College of Business, Indiana State University, USA; 2.Scott College of Business, Indiana State University, USA; 3.Scott College of Business, Indiana State University, USA)

Abstract: In this paper, we document the history, activities, values, and challenges of the ISU Student Managed Investment Fund Consortium (SMIFC). With more Universities looking to incorporate their student managed investment fund (SMIF) to provide an experiential learning opportunity to their students, this paper details what the ISU SMIFC has done and learned from the experience. The ISU SMIFC was formed in July 2013 from a community of universities with a shared vision for student success and leadership in investing. This common purpose provides students and faculty in the member institutions with a broad network of support, problem-solving, and friendship. The SMIFC also establishes relationships with industry partners to assist in its primary goals of student education, research, leadership, and networking. These industry partnerships also provide opportunities for corporate sponsorships of SMIFC functions, student scholarships, internships, and employment opportunities. As of August 2022, the SMIFC had 134 member institutions and is expected to grow further in the future.

Key words: student managed investment fund (SMIF), Student Managed Investment Fund Consortium (SMIFC), experiential learning

JEL codes: A2, I23

1. Introduction

Since the creation of the first student-managed investment fund (SMIF) in 1950, the U.S. SMIFs have grown in numbers, size, and complexity. According to Lawrence (2008), there were only 12 SMIFs in 1969, and he reported that there are 314 SMIFs worldwide ranging in size from \$2,000 to \$62 million. Using a website search, other prior SMIF research, the University Finance Labs, and contacts from professional associations, Kubik (2018) identified 483 schools having SMIF programs in the U.S. Following Kubik, this paper identifies 604 schools in December 2022.

The distribution of the organizational structure and investment strategies adopted by the members of the Student Managed Investment Fund Consortium (SMIFC) founded by Indiana State University in July 2013 is like the organizational structure and investment strategies in the general body of all students managed investment funds. Most programs are set up by receiving funds from various sources, including but not limited to, gifts or grants from private donors, corporations, foundations, and university endowments. One distinctive organizational structure of a

Tarek Zaher, Ph.D., Professor of Finance, Scott College of Business, Indiana State University; research areas: portfolio management and security analysis, financial education and management of financial institution. E-mail: tarek.zaher@indstate.edu.

fund providing investment experience for students is the investment challenge program created by the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA), a federally owned electric utility corporation. The TVA Board allocated \$100,000 each to participating 19 universities in the Tennessee Valley to manage in actual investment portfolios in 1998, and the total portfolio increased to \$11 million in 2023 as per TVA's website.

Krueger (2001) reported that a formal investment policy is essential to the smooth management of the SMIF portfolio and that such policies are used by most universities that have SMIFs. The investment strategies and trading at most of the SMIF institutions are controlled by the investment policy statement that is established by the fund. The stock selection is done according to the guidelines in the policy statement and the constitution of the fund. The investment strategy for TVA's investment challenge program by the participating institutions is different. They construct their portfolio by mimicking the S&P 500 market index and all their trading is accomplished through TVA's trustee bank. Most SMIFC member institutions run their SMIF through a three-credit hour finance course. A few SMIFC members manage their funds via a student investment club. These stand-alone clubs may accept members from all business disciplines or may open it up to any students who are interested in a career in investment or finance. Charlton and Earl (2000) reports that the size of the class has an impact on the process of asset allocation and stock selection for the portfolio. Students are assigned more industries to screen when the class is small. Students' selection of stocks for the portfolio becomes a function of class size. When a class is small, each registered student is assigned more stocks or sectors to study and make necessary buy and sell decisions.

A few studies (Houde, 2007; Kolb, 2005; Kayes and Kayes, 2003; Gagnon and Witt-Smith, 2001; La Busing, 1998) documented that there is a great benefit to having a SMIF as a part of college experiential education programs in addition to the traditional classroom education. Experiential learning through SMIF activities allows students to have a higher level of student involvement, development of lifelong skills, and a deeper educational experience. Burkhalter and Schaer (1985) provide evidence that experiential programs help students better prepare for professional careers and develop communication, make better career decisions, and improve job-market prospects. No one would disagree that a SMIF provides an impactful learning experience for participating students, and that experiential learning enhances educational learning outcomes and career competencies. Knewtson, Johnson, and Tuoriniemi (2020) note that allowing students more, if not complete, control of the SMIF provides a true asset management experience and maximizes the students learning experience.

The purpose of this paper is to document the objectives, history, activities, and challenges faced by SMIFC since its inauguration in 2013. The sections that follow are organized around those broad topics.

2. Student Managed Investment Fund Consortia

There were some attempts by a few universities that are hosting SMIF to hold a conference to address professional development in the financial industry. Some of the high-profile conferences were initiated by Redefining Investment Strategy Education (R.I.S.E.), ENGAGE, and Global Asset Management Education (G.A.M.E). R.I.S.E. is sponsored by the University of Dayton in Ohio and was founded in 2000 and ended in 2014. Although R.I.S.E. started as undergraduate student investment conferences, commonly held in fall. R.I.S.E. programs offered the university's undergraduate students the opportunities to interact with and learn from industry professionals and to exercise industry best practices in real-world situations and simulations. R.I.S.E. also provided undergraduate students with the opportunities to teach the local high school students about investment principles and the stock market. The Spring 2012 conference of G.A.M.E was hosted by Quinnipiac University and held in

New York City. For many years it has been the largest SMIF gathering conference. G.A.M.E. forum is held annually each spring and is open to both undergraduate and graduate students from around the globe. ENGAGE International Investment Education Symposium emerged in 2015 as the premier fall conference hosted by Wayne State University and Mainstay Capital Management, in association with the United Nations Global Compact. ENGAGE Undergraduate Investment Conference (UIC) was hosted by Michigan Interactive Investments of the University of Michigan's Ross School of Business, and students participated on a competitive pitch competition where they presented their best ideas to industry judges. There was one more attempt to establish a SMIF consortium by The Association of Student-Managed Investment Funds (ASMIF) at Stetson University founded in 2006 and due to the lack of a leading university and the fragmentation of funds it ended in 2007.

In July 2013, the first author co-founded the Student Managed Investment Fund Consortium (SMIFC). The Consortium consists of a group of universities and colleges that have at least one active student-managed investment fund. As stated in its constitution, the purpose of this consortium is to enhance student practical learning and scholarship, record best practices in investment management among SMIFC partners, provide a vehicle for student networking and exchange of ideas, and provide a permanent repository of relevant literature and recorded student experiences. The SMIFC focuses on student leadership and research. As shown in Figure 1, the SMIFC started with 18 member institutions in 2013, and the membership increases to 134 institutions in 2022. The annual average growth rate in the number of member institutions ranges from 94% in the early SMIFC year to zero in 2000, when the World Health Organization officially declared the COVID-19 outbreak a pandemic. In 2021, the growth rate was second highest at 46%. In the early years after inauguration, most members were from the Midwest region, but the SMIFC membership spread all over the USA in 2022. The SMIFC members are 134, which is about 22.2% of the 604 active student managed investment funds in the U.S.



Figure 1 Number of SMIFC Members and Growth Rate

3. Structure, Goals, and Mission of SMIFC

The SMIFC is a community of universities with a shared vision for student success and leadership in investment management. This common purpose provides for a broad network of support, problem-solving, and friendship among students and faculty for corporate sponsorships of SMIFC functions, student scholarships, internships, and employment opportunities. The mission of the SMIFC is to provide a forum among member institutions to share the SMIF best practices in investment management and organization administration. The SMIFC emphasizes graduate and undergraduate student education, research, and leadership.

Membership in the SMIFC is open to institutions of higher education that have active student-managed investment funds and/or who have an interest in contributing to student education, research, and leadership. Members of the SMIFC determine how it will be organized, including administrative officers, and other considerations necessary to achieve the organization's mission. All members are partners in the SMIF Consortium.

4. Objectives, Activities, and Value of SMIFC

One of the main activities of the SMIFC is to organize a conference each year to provide networking opportunities for its members. Students assist in the organization and management of the conference and participate in the SMIFC program by presenting their practices in investment management and/or organization administration. Student research and white papers and videos are maintained in the SMIFC resource archive. The conference also spotlights several industry participants who speak on topics of interest to its members. Opportunities exist for panel discussions of students, advisors, and industry partners. The conference is hosted by willing member institutions on a rotating basis.

The SMIFC also provides member partners with access to educational resources covering best practices in investment management and organization administration. Most of these resources are contributed by consortium partners who share strategies for successful student-led investment groups. Due to the high growth in the consortium membership and increase in the number of attendees at the annual SMIFC conference, the authors of the SMIFC administered a concise survey seeking the opinions of members on three issues: (1) starting a new SMIFC journal; (2) building a database for members; and (3) holding new annual regional meetings. Most of the participating members (over 70%) supported all three initiatives. Although, starting a new academic SMIF journal, so called, The Journal of SMIF Consortium, is highly favored as it can host not only student research, but works by SMIF academic advisors and other researchers. Due to the high growth in the membership of the SMIFC and the geographical dispersion of member schools, a second regional conference in the west or south region of U.S. is very plausible.

The annual conferences provide opportunities for professional development for students and are mostly educational. The conferences are filled with a rich mix of presentations and conversations on the best practices in investment management and on the impact of recent economic changes on the investment environment. The meetings also provided students with networking opportunities, engagement with professionals from several investment firms, and visiting member institutions opportunity to observe and glean investment practices by other member schools. To increase the SMIFC exposure and attract more members to the consortium, the 2017 through 2023, annual SMIFC conference was held in Chicago. The program for the conferences usually includes two to three sessions with featured keynote speakers on current economic conditions, presentations on the management of the SMIF, and Q&A session on related topics with notable industry executives and leaders. Workshops sessions for training students on the use of investment software such as the TD Ameritrade Thinkorswim trading platform and Bloomberg platforms are also typically offered.

Every year, students also participated in two project competitions, including a poster session competition, financial analysis/portfolio management competition. The poster competition provides the SMIFC teams a way to display and present information and performance of their SMIF. As an incentive to participate in the poster session competition, awards are presented to teams that demonstrate Posters of Excellence for outstanding performance and outstanding visual presentation. The financial analysis project is a financial analysis exercise of the subject company. Financial ratios, valuation models, and other statistics and variables can be used in this analysis. Macroeconomics, industry, and company-specific data are used to compete in this project. A portfolio building project is an exercise of creating a long-term investment strategy and building an investment portfolio using fundamental analysis and technical analysis. The project consists of two parts. In the first part, teams of 1 to 3 students create a paper trading portfolio with \$100,000 that can be invested in stocks. Each team will create a portfolio of up to 10 stocks and write a 5-8-page (including exhibits) paper describing their investment plan strategy and demonstrating their knowledge of analysis of economic, industrial, political, global, financial, and other relevant conditions, and their understanding and application of both fundamental analysis and technical analysis concepts and criteria they used for selection, allocation, position sizing, and risk management of their portfolio. Students also participated in the real trading competition.

Over the years, we have established partnerships with a few firms in the financial industry and other industries. These partners support SMIFC by providing speakers at the conference and/or financial support through sponsorships. The industry partners include, BlackRock, First Trust, Ariel Investments, LGIM America, Charles Schwab, Edward Jones, Bloomberg Institute, Bank One, CFA Society Chicago, Kingsview Partners, Chicago Board of Options, City securities, Federal Bank of Chicago, Jones Lang Lasalle, Fifth Third Bank, first financial bank, Kaplan, Mc Graw Hill Education, State Farm, Stifle Minas Sabau Porterfield group, TD Ameritrade, and the Technical Analys is Educational Foundation.

For a portion of each conference program, we reserve two to three sessions for the students from the different SMIFC member schools. In the sessions, the students share their SMIF organizational models, investment practices and strategies, and other experiences they found significant. The interactions between the audience and the presenting SMIFC teams and among the teams themselves are common in all sessions. We also reserve a session for a business meeting for the students and faculty from the attending SMIFC member schools. In the meeting, the students and advisors share their thoughts about the conference and other activities of SMIFC. In addition, members make recommendations for the next SMIFC conference and activities. Also, we sometimes follow up with a concise survey or ask the audience to write down their observations and suggestions for a better conference experience. This information proves to be valuable for continuous improvement, and a few changes to future conference programming came from recommendations we collected from the attending students and faculty. For example, we added a small career fair to the 2014 conference as the direct result of suggestions. In addition, we shortened the sessions and reduced the total number of sessions since the 2015 conference. In the 2018 conference, we hosted a student pitch competition. For the competition, participating student teams provided a financial analysis of a company in one of the following two ways: 1) submit a 7-minute YouTube video describing the team's analysis and recommendation for the subject company or 2) present the team's analysis and recommendation via a 10-page written report. Teams can participate in both the video and written paper. Awards were given for first through third place winners on the video pitch and on the written report.

5. Challenges of SMIFC

The most significant challenge to SMIFC is program costs. SMIFC itself is challenged with how to raise the funds that will support the annual conference and other activities. Many SMIFC member schools are also limited in the number of students they can bring to the conference due to their budgetary and other funding issues. Mallett & Lerro (2002) documented that universities incur an excessive cost when operating a SMIF. These costs include investment in the construction of the trading room, and the purchase of specialized software and computers. Raising funds to subsidize the cost to member institutions is essential for the future success of the consortium. We cannot charge the full actual cost per individual to member institutions and expect a large attendance at the conference. Indiana State University was able, through a Lilly grant, to subsidize the cost of attending faculty and students of member institutions for the last five years. The total cost of the 2017 SMIFC conference was a little over \$100,000. We were able to reduce the cost of the 2018 SMIFC conference to around \$58,000. The cost of attending faculty and students is still high. We need to continue to explore innovative ways of cutting costs or raising funds.

Another challenge is how to motivate academic advisors and students to get involved and carry out some of the responsibilities of the SMIFC. Although a progress is made in this area and more individuals from a few SMIFC institutions are getting involved, we need to capitalize on this and encourage more individuals from other SMIFC universities to join the active committees. The third challenge is increasing the membership in the SMIFC. In the past, we limited our invitation to universities in the Midwest region. We are now extending invitations beyond the Midwest, and we now have members from all over the U.S. We saw increased interest in the SMIFC after the annual conference was moved to Chicago. We will continue to hold the conference in Chicago or other big cities.

6. Conclusion

In this paper, we explore the history, activities, values, and challenges of the ISU Student Managed Investment Fund Consortium (SMIFC). The ISU SMIFC is the only body in the United States that is student oriented and has a community of universities with a shared vision for student success and leadership in investing management. The consortium provided a broad network of support, problem-solving, and friendship among students and faculty in the member institutions. The SMIFC also establishes relationships with industry partners to assist in achieving its primary goals of student education, research, and leadership. The industry partnerships also provide opportunities for corporate sponsorships of SMIFC functions, student scholarships, internships, and employment opportunities. The SMIFC also provides member partners with access to educational resources covering best practice in investment management and organization administration. Most of these resources will be contributed by consortium partners who have shared strategies for successful student-led investment groups. SMIFC is hosting an electronic archive for this purpose and will be available to students at member institutions. An annual conference is organized each year to provide networking opportunities for its members. Student research and white papers and videos are maintained in the SMIFC resource archive.

References

Charlton W. T. and Earl J. H. Jr. (2000). "Student selection of student managed investment fund managers", *Journal of Financial Education*, Vol. 16, pp. 40-45.

Cooley W. P. (2003). "A survey of student managed funds", available online at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228594658 A Survey of Student Managed Funds.

Files C. B. (2019). "Biblical screens and the stock selection process: Application for a student-managed fund", Christian Business

- Academy Review, Vol. 14, No. 1, doi: https://doi.org/10.69492/cbar.v14i1.510.
- Gagnon R. J. (1988). "Instructing operations design: An experiential approach", Decision Sciences, Vol. 19, No. 2, pp. 453-471.
- Gagnon R. and Smith J. W. (Spring/Summer 2001). "Instructing the technical and behavioral aspects of total quality management: A cross-course experiential approach", *The Journal of Business Education*, pp. 31-51.
- Glassman L. S. (2009). "Creating a new type of student managed fund using peer-to-peer loans", *Business Education and Accreditation*, No. 11.
- Jackson C. M. (2018). "The history and future of student-managed investment fund consortia", Journal of Accounting and Finance, No. 6.
- Mallet J. E. and Lerro L. J. (2010). "Experiment no more: The long-term effectiveness of student-managed investments programs", *Journal of Financial Education*, Vol. 36, pp. 1-15.
- Johnson F. P. (2019). "Structuring an endowment-allocated student managed fund course", *Business Education and Accreditation*, pp. 51-60
- Kayes D. C. and Kayes A. B. (2003). "Through the looking glass management education gone awry", *Journal of Management Education*, Vol. 27, No. 6, p. 694.
- Kolb A. Y. and Kolb D. A. (2005). "Learning style and learning spaces: Enhancing experiential learning in higher education", *Academy of Management Learning and Education*, Vol. 4, No 2, pp. 193-212.
- Krueger T. M. (2001). "Finance experts versus students: Policies, comparative return and risk of investments in student-directed investment portfolio", *Journal of Accounting and Finance*, Vol. 11, No. 4, pp. 119-137.
- Kubik C. (2018). "Student-Managed investment funds: A survey of student demographics, fund policies, and transparency", *Transitional Journal of Business*, Vol. 3, No. 1, pp. 33-45.
- LaForge R. L. and Busing M. E. (1998). "The use of industrial software to create experiential learning activities in operations management courses", *Production and Operations Management*, Vol. 7, No. 3, pp. 325-334.
- Lawrence E. C. (2015). "Student managed investment funds: An international perspective", *Journal of Applied Finance (Formerly Financial Practice and Education)*, Vol. 18, No. 2, pp. 1-23, available online at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=2698650.
- Lung J. C. (2018). Risk Management in Student-Managed Funds, Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Mallet J. and Lerro A. J. (2002). "Establishing and running a student-managed investment program", available online at: http://www.slideshare.net/khanyasmin/establishing-and-running-a-Student-Managed-investmentprogram.
- Sorcha H., Johnson D. and Tuoriniemi J. (Summer 2020). "Student managed investment programs: Maximizing learning opportunities by increasing student control, *Advances in Financial Education*, pp. 1-15.
- TVA (n.d.). "TVA investment challenge program", available online at: https://www.tva.com/investment-challenge.
- Charlton William T., Earl John and Stevens Jerry J. (2015). "Expanding management in student managed investment funds", *Journal of Financial Education*, Vol. 41, No. 2, pp. 1-23, available online at: http://www.jstor.org/stable/24573676.

Appendix I List of SMIFC Members (October 2022)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Universities	
Abilene Christian University	Florida Gulf Coast University
Adelphi University	Freed Hardeman University
Alcorn State University	George Mason University

American University of Beirut	George Washington University
Appalachian State University	Georgia Southern University
Arkansas State University	Grand Valley State University
Ashland University	Henderson State University
Auburn University	High Point University
Austin Peay State University	Hofstra University
Baldwin Wallace University	Illinois Wesleyan University
Ball State University	Indiana State University
Barry University	Indiana University Northwest
Bloomsburg University	Kennesaw State University
Butler University	Le Moyne College
Cal Poly Pomona	Limestone University
California State University, East Bay	Lipscomb University
California State University, Fresno	Loras College
California State University, Fullerton	Marquette University
California State University, San Marcos	Michigan State University
Cedarville University	Millikin University
Centenary College of Louisiana	Millsaps College
Christian Brothers University	Mississippi University for Women
Christopher Newport University	Montclair State University
The Citadel	Morgan State University
Colby-Sawyer College	Nazareth College
College of Wooster	Nebraska Wesleyan University
Cuyahoga Community College	North Central College
DePaul University	Northern Illinois University
Dominican University	Northern Michigan University
Dordt University	Northwest Nazarene University
Drexel University	Northwestern State University of Louisiana
East Tennessee State University	Oakland University
Eastern Illinois University	Oberlin College
Fairfield University	Ohio Wesleyan University
Olivet Nazarene University	University of Lincoln (UK)
Pacific Lutheran University	University of Lynchburg
Pacific University, Oregon	University of Massachusetts Lowell
Penn State Behrend	University of Michigan
Plymouth State University	University of Michigan - Dearborn
Princeton University	University of Michigan - Flint

(To be continued)

(Appendix I continued)

,	
Radford University	University of Missouri - St. Louis
Saint Peter's University	University of Mount Union
Salve Regina University	University of Nebraska at Kearney
Smith College	University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Value, History, Activities, and Challenges of Student Managed Investment Fund Consortium (SMIFC)

Southern Illinois University, Edwardsville	University of North Carolina - Greensboro
Southern Utah University	University of Northern Colorado
Southwestern University	University of St. Thomas, Minnesota
State University of New York, Geneseo	University of Tennessee
Stonehill College	University of Tennessee at Chattanooga
Taylor University	University of Tennessee at Martin
University of Texas at Dallas	University of Texas at Arlington
Truman State University	University of West Alabama
Union University	University of Wisconsin - Eau Claire
University of Alabama in Huntsville	University of Wisconsin-La Crosse
University of Alaska Fairbanks	University of Wisconsin - River Falls
University of Arkansas - Fort Smith	Ursinus College
University of Arkansas at Little Rock	Walsh College
University of Baltimore	Western Carolina University
University of California, Merced	Western Kentucky University
University of Central Missouri	Western Michigan University
University of Connecticut	Whitworth University
University of Dayton	Widener University
University of Delaware	Winona State University
University of Detroit Mercy	Wittenberg University
University of Florida	Wofford College
University of Georgia	Wright State University
University of Indianapolis	Xavier University